

instructions given, ten or twelve dairy men contributed to a fund and raised the sum of \$500, which was placed in the hands of Mr. John Dietrick, to be paid to Messrs. Wise and Pollock for their services.

The character of the services rendered, or to have been rendered, by them is a matter of dispute.

**What Was It Paid For?**  
Messrs. Wise and Pollock contend that the money was paid to compensate them for their services as attorneys at law for securing the recommendation by the Board of Health of the amendment to the ordinance, and for obtaining from the Chief Health Officer his permission to suspend prosecutions for its violation, while some of the parties who contributed to the fund have stated that they did so with the understanding that the money should not be paid by Mr. Dietrick to Messrs. Wise and Pollock until the amendment to the ordinance had been adopted by the Council and approved by the Mayor.

The amendment to the ordinance was drawn by Dr. Levy and approved by the Board of Health.

On March 7, 1910, it was presented to the Common Council by Dr. E. M. Reade, and on his motion, which was made at the request of Mr. George E. Pollock and Wise, the ordinance was referred to the appropriate committee was suspended, and the amendment was adopted. The record shows that Messrs. Pollock and Wise were upon their request, excused from voting on the motion to suspend the rules, and on the adoption of the ordinance, which on March 15, 1910, from the Board of Aldermen concurred in said ordinance.

**Paid Over Promptly.**  
The amendment to the ordinance was approved by the Mayor, and on that day, or the day following, Mr. John Dietrick paid to Messrs. Wise and Pollock the sum of \$500.

I earnestly ask the Common Council to take immediate action in this matter, and to use all lawful means to bring out the whole truth.

If the members whose names are mentioned have not been guilty of any violation of the law or official misconduct, they should be relieved, as soon as practicable, from every suspicion of wrongdoing, but if they have, then such relief should be withheld, and will vindicate the integrity of the City Council.

I inclose herewith a list of the names of the persons who should be examined as witnesses, a list of the dairymen who contributed to the fund, and the amount of the fund, which will be paid by each, and also copies of my letter to Dr. E. C. Levy, Chief Health Officer, and his reply thereto.

Very respectfully,  
D. C. RICHARDSON,  
Mayor.

**List of Witnesses.**  
With his message, the Mayor inclosed a list of the following parties, whom he has been informed, have certain knowledge of the transaction, and who he suggests should be summoned as witnesses: Dr. E. C. Levy, Dr. F. M. Reade, James R. Gordon, Jacob Umlauf, E. M. Noble, R. H. Curtis, H. M. Moore, V. I. Lipard, George Drinker, Jr., J. H. Shurm, L. H. Kemp, Jr., W. T. Taylor, W. B. Barnes, Thomas Weaver, J. L. Brittle, J. L. Miller, A. C. Miller, J. H. Dietrick and T. H. Farrand.

The Mayor also transmitted to the Council a memorandum of the amounts paid to have been paid by each of the dairymen to the fund which was paid to the two Councilmen: H. M. Moore, \$10; V. I. Lipard, \$10; Drinker Brothers, \$25; J. H. Shurm and Brother, \$25; L. H. Kemp, Jr., \$25; W. T. Taylor, \$35; J. W. Barnes, \$10; Thomas Weaver, \$20; V. I. Lipard, \$10; J. L. Brittle, \$10; T. H. Farrand, \$25.

**Correspondence With Levy.**  
With his message, the Mayor transmitted copies of correspondence between himself and Chief Health Officer E. C. Levy. In his letter of May 7, the Mayor says: "In their explanation of the circumstances under which they received the sum of \$500 from certain dairymen, Messrs. George E. Pollock and Wise have stated that as counsel for the dairy men they saw you and obtained your consent to a suspension or violation of the ordinance, which prohibited the feeding of cows on distillery still, before the ordinance was amended, and that this sum of money was paid to them after you had given such consent."

In reply, Dr. Levy tells of the work of the Board of Health for milk inspection, and of the repeated consideration of the matter of allowing the feeding of distillery waste, "our inquiries showed," he writes, "that this product could be used under conditions such as to insure its being fed in a safe state and under certain other necessary restrictions. But so long as the ordinance prohibited its use, although the Board of Health did not feel that it had any right to adopt rules allowing its use, it was prohibited by ordinance."

"We hesitated to recommend that the ordinance be amended, in view of the fact that any attempt to amend in one particular might result in further changes which might render our work in connection with the improvement of the milk supply of little further value."

**Was Instructed by Board.**  
Dr. Levy goes on to quote from the Board of Health records to show that, finally, on January 24, the board, on motion of Mr. Gordon, did recommend to the Council that the ordinance be amended so as to permit of the feeding of distillery waste "under such rules and regulations of the Board of Health as may be necessary to insure the proper and sanitary use of said products as a food for milk cows."

Dr. Levy explains that even prior to that time the board had reason to believe that the still was being illegally fed, but that the dairymen were doing it at such times that it was impossible for the board to detect it.

"For eight years I suffered from stomach and kidney trouble, from which I was unable to obtain relief. I treated with several doctors and tried many advertised remedies, with disappointing results. My health declined until I became a physical wreck, with my vitality exhausted and my nervous system broken down."

"My husband, becoming impressed with an advertisement of the Cooper's New Discovery, proposed that I try Cooper's New Discovery. I lost faith in everything, but consented to do so. Much to my surprise it helped me, and I began to improve from the day I took the first dose."

"Now, after having taken the full treatment, I feel like a different woman—no more headaches, backache or dizziness. I am strong and well. That tired feeling is completely gone, and a pain I have had in my stomach for the past six months has disappeared. Cooper's New Discovery is simply wonderful."

Cooper's New Discovery is sold by all druggists. If your druggist cannot supply you, write to the name of the city who will forward you the name of a druggist in your city who will. Don't accept "something just as good." The Cooper Medicine Co., Dayton, Ohio.

There's an air--there's a go--there's a personality--there's a high pressure, to our style of young men's clothes.

No break on the shoulders. No wrinkling in the front. When you buy a suit here it looks as if it were made for you.

When you pay for it you are struck with the saving in price over the best tailors--the only class of tailors that can make garments the equal of ours.

Come and see. Are your full dress suit and fixings just what they should be? Do you just full justice this evening?

No trouble or delay in renewing any of them here--from suit to silk hat.

**O. H. Berruso**  
MEN'S SUITS AND SUITORS  
Successors to the Merchant Tailors.

The letter goes on: "The board at the meeting in which the above action was taken instructed me not to use any strenuous efforts to detect the feeding of distillery waste, as it had passed this resolution asking to have the ordinance so amended as to allow it. Believing that the Council would certainly pass the amendment, the board and I felt that even if any one were detected feeding this distillery waste, by the time the case came up in the Hustings Court, the ordinance prohibition would no longer exist."

"It was after this that Mr. Wise, and I believe Mr. Pollock, asked me what would be our attitude until the ordinance passed the Council, and I told them what was the position of the board as above indicated. I had no idea that Mr. Pollock or Mr. Wise was the attorney of any one in this connection."

**Did Not Know They Were Employed.**  
"As a summary of the whole point of your question, I may say that neither the board nor I were influenced in any way by anything that was done or said by Mr. Pollock or Mr. Wise. The statement that they are counsel for the dairymen, saw me and obtained my consent to a suspension, or violation of the ordinance, which prohibited the feeding of cows on distillery still, before the ordinance was amended, is entirely misleading, because, in the first place, I had no idea that either was speaking to me as counsel for the dairymen, and in the second place, was merely acquainting them with action taken by the Board of Health, and communicated to our inspectors before they saw me on the subject."

**Pollock Demands Inquiry.**  
As soon as the various papers had been read, Mr. Pollock sent up to the clerk's desk the following prepared statement, which was read:  
"Richmond, May 9, 1910.  
"To the Honorable Council of the City of Richmond:  
"Gentlemen--For several days past the press of this city has contained references to certain charges which it is understood will be preferred by his Honor the Mayor at a called meeting of the Common Council to be held to-night. These newspaper publications taken in conjunction with rumors which have been in this community, indicate that it is the purpose of his Honor the Mayor to charge that in connection with my professional services rendered in conjunction with the Hon. Geo. E. Wise, to certain dairymen doing business in this city, I have been guilty of conduct violative of the ordinance which prohibited the feeding of cows on distillery still, before the ordinance was amended, and in violation of the criminal law of the State. Presuming that these newspaper accounts, together with the rumors referred to, are fairly indicative of the character of the charges which his Honor the Mayor will prefer against me, or to which he will call the attention of the Council, I avail myself of this first opportunity to decorously deny in the most positive terms the truth of these charges, or any of them, and to assert without fear of contradiction that my conduct in the whole matter was professional, personally and officially correct, and above the slightest reproach."

"I earnestly request and respectfully demand at the hands of the Council the very fullest and most complete investigation of these charges, at the earliest possible moment. I consider absolute my right to practice my profession before the courts, or public boards of this city (other than either branch of any committee of the Council), whenever the interests of my clients require my services, but if in the method of that practice I have innocently erred, I should be censured; if I have erred intentionally, but not criminally, I should be condemned in the severest terms; if I have violated the laws, I should be prosecuted and punished. It is on the other hand, these charges, each and all of them, fall to the ground, as I know and assert they will, I shall be entitled to complete vindication at your hands."

"Respectfully,  
"GILBERT K. POLLOCK."  
Mr. Wise then rose to a question of personal privilege, concurring with Mr. Pollock in asking for a complete investigation. He spoke of the fact that if any member of the Council should hold suspicion that he would be guilty of official impropriety, and told of his efforts on the first day reports became current to find who was responsible for the charges and so to make an explanation.

"I was unwilling that the sun should go down while any man held such an opinion of me," he asserted. "I sought the source of these reports, and sought it in vain; I learned enough, however, to satisfy me that the charges were in connection with the feeding of distillery waste, and I felt that the next best step to give the world through the newspapers the facts, I have published two letters from dairymen which stated the truth, and having stated the truth, I have been content to rest my case until formal charges should be brought."

In view of the information furnished the Mayor he said that official had done exactly right, but so far from the charges being true, he asserted, his employment had not even been to secure a suspension of the ordinance.

"In the Taylor case in the Hustings Court the point was clearly sustained that still waste was an unwholesome and that still waste was a healthful food product. Our employment was to have designated a distinction between the unwholesome still waste and the healthy waste, and to have the Council Board that a good feed was wholesome. He could not see why, when the dealers asked such relief, it could not be granted by the board until two men who were paid a fee asked that it be done."

"I asked Dr. Levy why it was necessary to employ a lawyer to convince the Health Board that this feed was proper. Later, talking with a member of the Milk Producers' Association, it was denied that that association had employed a lawyer."

Mr. Umlauf seconded Dr. Reade's motion, saying that such an investigation should be held that justice might be done both to the two men accused, and to the membership of the Council. Mr. Umlauf said he had not charged that there was anything dishonest or discreditable, but that it had appeared strange to him that milk dealers should be required to have paid at a meeting of the City Council Board that a good feed was wholesome. He could not see why, when the dealers asked such relief, it could not be granted by the board until two men who were paid a fee asked that it be done."

"I asked Dr. Levy why it was necessary to employ a lawyer to convince the Health Board that this feed was proper. Later, talking with a member of the Milk Producers' Association, it was denied that that association had employed a lawyer."

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."

When the question was called on Dr. Reade's motion to appoint a committee of investigation, Mr. Cutchins asked whether the committee also was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy. President Peters ruled that it was not; that the committee was to investigate the questions raised in the Mayor's correspondence with Dr. Levy.

Mr. Umlauf took occasion roundly to scold certain newspapers for "attempting to parade a scandal in the City Council before they knew what they were talking about."